| 11  | COURT OF APPEALS                                      |                                    |
|---|---|------------------------------------|
| OF TH   | DIVISION TWO<br>HE STATE OF WASHINGTON                | COURTELLED                         |
|   |   | 2013 MAY                           |
| STATE OF WASHINGTON   | )   | STATE OF WAR                       |
| Respondent,   | )<br>No. <u>44368-6-</u>                              | TIDEPURETON                        |
| V.  | ) STATEMENT OF A                                      | ADDITIONAL                         |
| Joshua Engunel Howard (your name)   | ) GROUNDS FOR RI                                      | EVIEW                              |
| Appellant.  | )   |                                    |
| I, Joshua E. Howard, hattorney. Summarized below are the adunderstand the Court will review this St considered on the merits. |   | are not addressed in that brief. I |
|   | Additional Ground 1                                   |                                    |
| it had to choose wh   | we instructed the incident insign the incident insign |                                    |
|   |   |                                    |
|   | Additional Ground 2                                   |                                    |
| There was no preu<br>got Charged with fir<br>Premeditation thay can<br>inunder and degree                                     | editation so I show                                   | old have never                     |
| got Charged with fir<br>Premeditation than Can  | st degree attempted                                   | sith attempted                     |
| murder and degree   | <u> </u>  | į.                                 |
|   |   |                                    |
|   |   |                                    |
| If there are additional grounds, a brief st   | ummary is attached to this statem                     | ient.                              |

Form 23

Date: 5-7-13

I fell that it was a coror for the itedge not to instruct the jury that it had to choose which event the incident inside the house or the incident outside the house because how time put it was that the incident inside the house was assault first and the incident outside was attempted first degree premeditated Murder, I feel that a error like that would have changed the outcome of the trial and the outcome est how much time I ment I also feel their was never no premodifation so I Should have never got Charged with first degree attempted preinted itated inurder. without premoditation they can only charge the with attempted Murder 2nd degree. I feel my Case should be remanded to the trial court to correct these errors or to look into them So I can know what insident them were ections on to find the entitles of

Doc # 316714